

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3887	
10/016,938	12/14/2001		Kirby Gannett Vosburgh	RD-26907		
7:	590	01/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Patrick R. Sca	nlon, Es	sq.	•	TRAIL, ALLYSON NEEL		
Pierce Atwood One Monument Square				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Portland, ME 04101				2876		
				DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					AR				
		Application No.	Appl	icant(s)					
•		10/016,938	vos	VOSBURGH, KIRBY GANNETT					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	Jnit					
		Allyson N Sande	· ·	. 1					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover	sheet with the corresp	oondence addr	ess				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - Faili - Any earn Status	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howe n. a reply within the statutory mir eriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application to mailing date of this communica	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail b become ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ing date of this com .S.C. § 133).	munication.				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2								
,	,	This action is non-fina							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 14 is/are pending in the								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 14</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or oloction require	ment						
8)L. Applicat	tion Papers	ma/or election require	mone.						
W 1	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
, -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 2003</u>		ed or b) objected to	by the Examir	ner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if th	e drawing(s) is objected	to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the	attached Office Actio	n or form PTC)-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* 13)□ 3 14)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [a] All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docure 2. Certified copies of the priority docure 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bracknowledgment is made of a claim for dorsince a specific reference was included in the strength of the translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dorseference was included in the first sentence	ments have been recoments have been recoments have been recoments have been recomendated (PCT Rule 17.2 a list of the certified comestic priority under 3 he first sentence of the provisional applicates the priority under 3 the provisional applicates the provisiona	eived. eived in Application No eve been received in te e(a)). opies not received. 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to e specification or in ar ion has been received. 5 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/o	o this National S a provisional a Application D I. or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific				
Attachme	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4۱ ا	Interview Summary (PTO-	413) Paper No(s)	· ·				
2) Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent A						
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s) 6)	Other: .						

--- - - -

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed September 22, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 2, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ulrich et al (6,603,103).

Claim 1 of the current invention teaches the following:

"A method of self-referencing a structure having an exterior mappable by a light gauge system, the method comprising the steps of: applying an identifying marker to the exterior of the structure; and creating a contour map representation of the structure such that said identifying marker forms part of said map representation."

Application/Control Number: 10/016,938

Art Unit: 2876

Ulrich et al teaches the following in regards to claim 1:

"Manufacturing lines include inspection systems for monitoring the quality of parts produced. Manufacturing lines for making semiconductor devices generally inspect each fabricated part. The information obtained is used to fix manufacturing problems in the semiconductor fab plant. A machine-vision system for inspecting devices includes a light source for propagating light to the device and an image detector that receives light from the device. Also included is a light sensor assembly for receiving a portion of the light from the light source." (Abstract).

"Height distribution of a surface can be obtained by projecting a light stripe pattern onto the surface and then reimaging the light pattern that appears on the surface. One technique for extracting this information based on taking multiple images (3 or more) of the light pattern that appears on the surface while shifting the position (phase) of the projected light stripe pattern is referred to as phase shifting interferometry, as disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,641,972 and 4,212,073 (incorporated herein by reference).

The multiple images are usually taken using a CCD (charge-coupled device) video camera with the images being digitized and transferred to a computer where phase-shift analysis, based on images being used as "buckets," converts the information to a contour map (i.e., a three-dimensional representation) of the surface." (Col. 1, line 56 - Col. 2, line 4).

"The major surface opposite the connector side (the "label side") is typically marked with an identification label. In one such embodiment, the devices are inspected Application/Control Number: 10/016,938

Art Unit: 2876

at inspection station 115 on their connector side, then the devices are flipped over (e.g., into another tray) and inspected on their label side." (Col. 8, lines 53-58). The objects are scanned and made into a contour map. Because the label is part of the object, it too is apparent as part of the contour map.

Claim 2 of the current invention discloses the following:

"The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: indexing said contour map representation of the structure with said identifying marker in a searchable database."

Claim 7 of the current invention discloses the following:

"The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of tracking a fabrication or service life of the structure using said identifying marker"

Ulrich et al teaches the following in regards to claims 2 and 7:

"In one embodiment, at inspection station 1614, the top of the package is inspected with a 3D camera 1631'. Such package inspection includes checking the dimensions of the package, whether there are chips, cracks, scratches or voids, among other things. In this embodiment, at inspection station 1616, the markings are inspected with a 2D camera 1632'. In one embodiment, each of the ball-grid-array semiconductor devices in the JEDEC tray is marked with a model number and serial number as well as the manufacturer's identification so that the parts may be tracked." (Col. 36, lines 49-58).

Claim 14 of the current invention discloses the following:

Art Unit: 2876

"The method of claim 1 wherein said contour map representation is created using a light gauge system having an illumination source and two cameras."

Ulrich et al teaches the following in regards to claim 14:

"In the embodiment shown, a second gantry 1630' is positioned over the inspection station 1614 and inspection station 1616. The gantry 1630' includes a gantry arm 1634'. A first inspection camera 1631' and a second inspection camera 1632' are mounted to the gantry arm so that each of the inspection cameras 1631' and 1632' can move independently of the other inspection camera." (Col. 36, lines 59-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulrich et al (6,603,103) in view of Nakamura (5,971,130).

Ulrich et al's teachings are discussed above. Although Ulrich et al teaches an identifying marker applied to the surface of the structure, Ulrich et al fails to specifically teach the identifying marker being a raised pattern formed by machining the exterior surface.

Nakamura teaches the following in regards to claims 3 and 4:

"The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for providing a workpiece with an identification mark, a method and apparatus for identifying a

.Application/Control Number: 10/016,938

Art Unit: 2876

workpiece, and a sheet metal machining apparatus having a workpiece identifying apparatus." (Col. 1, lines 10-14).

"Conventionally, as means for providing workpieces with identification marks, there has been known means etching characters or numerals on workpieces by means of a punch press, attaching bar codes thereto, or by performing laser marking by means of laser beam machining." (Col. 1, lines 16-20).

In view of Nakamura's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to identify the structure with an etched code using the method of machining. Ulrich et al teaches using a label. Etched codes are often used as identifying markers on objects. One would be motivated to use an etched code for the durability they provide. Etched codes cannot easily be destroyed or taken off.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulrich et al (6,603,103) in view of Evers (4,766,301).

Ulrich et al's teachings are discussed above. Although Ulrich et al teaches an identifying marker applied to the surface of the structure, Ulrich et al fails to specifically teach the identifying marker being both a bar code and a serial number.

Evers teaches figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows a label containing, both a bar code, 3 and a serial number 5. Figure 2 is an adhesive coating used to attach the label to an object.

In view of Evers's teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use either a bar code or a serial number.

as the identification marker. Ulrich et al teaches using a label. Both bar codes and serial numbers are often used as identifying markers on labels. One would be motivated to use a bar code and a serial number, for their ability, when scanned and entered respectively, to relay information regarding the object on which they are applied to.

Page 7

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Gordon (5,589,942), Butler et al (5,640,240), Bieman (5,646,733), Halioua et al (4,641,972), and Balasubramanian (4,212,073).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allyson Sanders whose telephone number is (703) 305-5779, until January 15, when the phone number will change to (571)-272-2406. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30AM to 4:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2876

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [allyson.sanders@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Allyson Sanders Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 December 24, 2003

THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8